

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Central Valley Region**

**Water Quality Control Plan for the  
Tulare Lake Basin  
Second Edition**

**Revised January 2004 (with Approved Amendments)**



Board Members

Robert Schneider, Chair  
Karl Longley, Vice Chair  
Alson Brizard, Member  
Christopher Cabaldon, Member  
Cher Kablanow, Member  
Robert Fong, Member  
Lucille Palmer-Byrd, Member

Thomas R. Pinkos, Executive Officer

# Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD TO THE SECOND EDITION .....	i
I. INTRODUCTION .....	I-1
Basin Description .....	I-1
Waste Discharge Types .....	I-2
Point Sources .....	I-2
Nonpoint Sources .....	I-3
II. EXISTING AND POTENTIAL BENEFICIAL USES .....	II-1
III. WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES .....	III-1
Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters .....	III-2
Water Quality Objectives for Ground Waters .....	III-7
IV. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN .....	IV-1
Water Quality Concerns .....	IV-1
Agriculture .....	IV-1
Irrigated Agriculture .....	IV-2
Lower Kings River .....	IV-3
Agricultural Chemicals .....	IV-4
Confined Animal Activities .....	IV-4
Unconfined Animals .....	IV-5
Overdraft .....	IV-5
Salinity .....	IV-5
Silviculture .....	IV-6
Mineral Exploration and Extraction .....	IV-6
Erosion .....	IV-7
Recreation .....	IV-7
Well Standards .....	IV-8
Controlled Burning .....	IV-8
Municipal and Domestic Wastewater .....	IV-8
Individual Waste Systems .....	IV-8
Septage .....	IV-9
Effluent Limits .....	IV-9
Discharges to Navigable Waters .....	IV-9
Discharges to Land .....	IV-10
Wastewater Reclamation .....	IV-11
Consolidations .....	IV-12

# Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### IV. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (continued)

Pretreatment .....	IV-13
Industrial Wastewater .....	IV-13
Oil Field Wastewater .....	IV-14
Wineries .....	IV-15
Storm Water .....	IV-15
Hazardous and Non-Hazardous .....	IV-18
Waste Disposal .....	IV-18
Other Discharge Activities .....	IV-19
The Nature of Control Actions Implemented by the Regional Water Board .....	IV-19
Antidegradation .....	IV-20
Application of .....	IV-21
Water Quality Objectives .....	IV-21
Ground Water Cleanups .....	IV-23
Dilution .....	IV-25
Prohibitions .....	IV-25
Leaching Systems .....	IV-25
Petroleum .....	IV-26
Hazardous Waste .....	IV-26
Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLSs) .....	IV-26
Water Quality Assessment .....	IV-26
Waivers .....	IV-26
Actions Recommended for Implementation by Other Agencies .....	IV-26
Irrigated Agriculture .....	IV-26
Mining .....	IV-28
Transfer of Water .....	IV-28
Water Quality Planning .....	IV-29
Sole Source Aquifer .....	IV-29
Watershed Management Plans .....	IV-29
Continuous Planning for Water Quality Control .....	IV-29
V. PLANS AND POLICIES .....	V-1
VI. SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING .....	VI-1
Surface Water .....	VI-2
Ground Water .....	VI-3
Self-Monitoring .....	VI-3

# Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### VI. SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING (continued)

Compliance Monitoring .....	VI-4
Complaint Investigation .....	VI-4
Intensive Surveys .....	VI-4
Aerial Surveillance .....	VI-4
Subsurface Agricultural Drainage .....	VI-4
Lower Kings River .....	VI-4

# FOREWORD TO THE SECOND EDITION

---

Water quality control plans, or basin plans, contain California's administrative policies and procedures for protecting state waters. Basin plans are required by the state Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (California Water Code Section 13240). In addition, Section 303 of the federal Clean Water Act requires states to adopt water quality standards that "consist of the designated uses of the navigable waters involved and the water quality criteria for such waters based upon such uses."

Each of California's nine regional water quality control boards must formulate and adopt a basin plan for all areas within its region. The basin plans must conform with statewide policy set forth by the legislature and by the State Water Resources Control Board. Basin plans consist of designated beneficial uses to be protected, water quality objectives to protect those uses, and a program of implementation needed for achieving the objectives (California Water Code, Section 13050(j)).

Beneficial uses, together with their corresponding water quality objectives, meet federal regulatory criteria for water quality standards. Hence, California's basin plans serve as regulatory references for meeting both State and federal requirements for water quality control (40 CFR Parts 130 and 131). One significant difference between the state and federal programs is that California's basin plans establish standards for ground waters in addition to surface waters.

Basin plans are adopted and amended by regional water boards under a structured process involving full public participation and state environmental review.

Basin plans and amendments do not become effective until approved by the State Water Board. Regulatory provisions must be approved by the Office of Administrative Law. Adoption or revision of surface water standards are subject to the approval of the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency before they become accepted standards for the federal program.

Basin plans complement water quality control plans adopted by the State Water Board. It is the intent of the state and regional water boards to maintain basin plans in an updated and readily available edition that reflects all current water quality control programs.

The first edition of this *Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin* (Basin Plan) was adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 25 July 1975, and became effective following approval by the State Water Board on 21 August 1975 and the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in June 1976. Although several revisions have been adopted and approved since 1975, this revision is the first complete rewrite of the text of the Basin Plan.

Regional Water Board resolutions adopted prior to 17 August 1995, that revise or supplement the first edition of the plan which are not expressly incorporated by reference into the second edition of the plan are superceded.

In this Basin Plan, "Regional Water Board" refers to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and "State Water Board" refers to the State Water Resources Control Board.

# I. INTRODUCTION

## BASIN DESCRIPTION

The Central Valley Region includes about 40% of the land in California and stretches from the Oregon border to the Kern County/Los Angeles County line. It is bound by the Sierra Nevada Mountains on the east and the Coast Range on the west. The Region is divided into three basins: the Sacramento River Basin, the San Joaquin River Basin, and the Tulare Lake Basin. This basin plan covers only the Tulare Lake Basin. The Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin are covered in a separate basin plan.

The Tulare Lake Basin comprises the drainage area of the San Joaquin Valley south of the San Joaquin River (See Figure I-1).

Note: In 1976, the U. S. Geologic Survey, the Department of Water Resources, and the State Water Resources Control Board agreed upon the hydrologic boundaries for basins within California. The agreed boundaries did not match the planning boundaries in certain cases such as between the San Joaquin River Basin and the Tulare Lake Basin. The planning boundary between the San Joaquin River Basin and the Tulare Lake Basin follows the northern boundary of Little Panoche Creek basin, continues eastward along the channel of the San Joaquin River to Millerton Lake in the Sierra Nevada foothills, and then follows along the southern boundary of the San Joaquin River drainage basin.

Surface water from the Tulare Lake Basin only drains north into the San Joaquin River in years of extreme rainfall. This essentially closed basin is situated in the topographic horseshoe formed by the Diablo and Tumbler Ranges on the west, by the San Emigdio and Tehachapi Mountains on the south, and by the Sierra Nevada Mountains on the east and southeast.

The Basin encompasses approximately 10.5 million acres, of which approximately 3.25 million acres are in federal ownership. Kings Canyon and Sequoia National Parks and substantial portions of Sierra, Sequoia, Inyo, and Los Padres National Forests are included in the Basin. Valley floor lands (i.e., those having a land slope of less than 200 feet per mile) make up slightly less than one-half of the total basin land area. The maximum length and width of the Basin are about 170 miles and 140 miles, respectively. The valley floor is approximately 40 miles in width near its southern end, widening to a maximum of 90 miles near the Kaweah River.

Urban development is generally confined to the foothill and eastern valley floor areas. Major concentrations of population occur in or near the metropolitan areas of Bakersfield, Fresno, Porterville, Hanford, Tulare, and Visalia.

The Basin is one of the most important agricultural centers of the world. Industries related to agriculture, such as food processing and packaging (including canning, drying, and wine making), are prominent throughout the area. Producing and refining petroleum lead non-agricultural industries in economic importance.

Surface water supplies tributary to or imported for use within the Basin are inadequate to support the present level of agricultural and other development. Therefore, ground water resources within the valley are being mined to provide additional water to supply demands. Water produced in extraction of crude oil is used extensively to supplement agricultural irrigation supply in the Kern River sub-basin.

The Kings, Kaweah, Tule, and Kern Rivers, which drain the west face of the Sierra Nevada Mountains, are of excellent quality and provide the bulk of the surface water supply native to the Basin. Imported surface supplies, which are also of good quality, enter the Basin through the San Luis Canal/California Aqueduct System, Friant-Kern Canal, and the Delta-Mendota Canal. Adequate control to protect the quality of these resources is essential, as imported surface water supplies contribute nearly half the increase of salts occurring within the Basin.

Buena Vista Lake and Tulare Lake, natural depressions on the valley floor, receive flood water from the major rivers during times of heavy runoff. During extremely heavy runoff, flood flows in the Kings River reach the San Joaquin River as surface outflow through the Fresno Slough. These flood flows represent the only significant outflows from the Basin.

Besides the main rivers, the basin also contains numerous mountain streams. These streams have been administratively divided into eastside streams and westside streams using Highway 58 from Bakersfield to Tehachapi. Streams from the Tehachapi and San Emigdio Mountains are grouped with westside streams. In contrast to eastside streams, which are fed by Sierra snowmelt and springs from granitic bedrock, westside streams derive from marine sediments and

are highly mineralized, and intermittent, with sustained flows only after extended wet periods.

Surface water hydrologic units within the Tulare Lake Basin have been defined and numbered by the Department of Water Resources, as shown on Figure II-1. Eastside streams are surface waters in hydrologic units 552, 553, 554, and 555. Westside streams are surface waters in hydrologic units 556 and 559 and portions of 541 and 542. Valley floor waters are surface waters in hydrologic units 551, 557, and 558. All natural surface waters within the Basin have designated beneficial uses (See Table II-1).

Normally all native surface water supplies, imported water supplies, and direct precipitation percolate into valley ground water if not lost through consumptive use, evapotranspiration, or evaporation.

Ground water is defined as subsurface water that occurs beneath the ground surface in fully saturated zones within soils and other geologic formations. Where ground water occurs in a saturated geologic unit that contains sufficient permeability and thickness to yield sufficient water to sustain a well or spring, it can be defined as an aquifer {USGS, Water Supply Paper 1988, 1972}. A ground water basin is defined as a hydrogeologic unit containing one large aquifer or several connected and interrelated aquifers {Todd, Groundwater Hydrology, 1980}.

Major ground water basins underlie the valley floor, and there are scattered smaller basins in the foothill areas and mountain valleys. In many parts of the Basin, usable ground waters occur outside of these identified basins. There are water-bearing geologic units within ground water basins in the Basin that do not meet the definition of an aquifer. Therefore, for basin planning and regulatory purposes, the term "ground water" includes all subsurface waters that occur in fully saturated zones and fractures within soils and other geologic formations, whether or not these waters meet the definition of an aquifer or occur within identified ground water basins.

Generally, the quality and the beneficial uses of the deep ground waters remain the same as before man entered the valley. A few areas within the Basin have ground waters that are naturally unusable or of marginal quality for certain beneficial uses.

Because of the closed nature of the Tulare Lake Basin, there is little subsurface outflow. Thus, salts accumulate within the Basin due to importation and evaporative use of the water. The paramount water quality problem in the Basin is the accumulation of salts. This problem is compounded by the overdraft of ground

water for municipal, agricultural, and industrial purposes, and the use of water from deeper formations and outside the basin which further concentrates salts within remaining ground water.

## WASTE DISCHARGE TYPES

Discharges can be classified as point source or non-point source discharges. A point source discharge usually refers to waste emanating from a single, identifiable point. A nonpoint source discharge usually refers to waste emanating from diffused locations. Agricultural runoff may discharge to waters of the state from a pipe, but is treated as a nonpoint source.

Both sources may cause health hazards, contamination, and nuisance problems and both must be managed to reduce salt contributions. Point sources may be high in heavy metals and other toxic materials. Nonpoint source wastes traditionally contribute more dissolved minerals and sediments, but have also contaminated waters with pesticides. Nonpoint source discharges contribute the largest portion of the waste load to surface and ground water resources within the Tulare Lake Basin.

Effective water quality management requires more than control of point source discharges. It must respond to many factors such as water use, land use, social and economic needs, and various other activities within the Basin. Although only a few management actions involve facility construction of some kind, all involve some cost to society. The Regional Water Board has authority to control both categories of discharge, but the approach is less direct for nonpoint sources.

Not fitting either category are spills, leaks, above and under ground storage tanks, and other sites that discharge illegally and impact waters of the state. The Regional Water Board has authority to require investigation and cleanup of these sites.

## Point Sources

Problems from point source wastes are highly identifiable and for several decades have been subject to regulation. However, they must still be actively managed to protect the state's waters. Regulated point sources include municipal wastewater, oil field wastewater, winery discharges, solid waste sites and other industrial discharges. These dischargers must apply for and obtain waste discharge requirements or a waiver.

## Nonpoint Sources

Nonpoint sources include drainage and percolation from a variety of activities, such as agriculture, forestry, recreation, and storm runoff. Specific sources of nonpoint source pollution may be difficult to identify, treat, or regulate. The goal is to reduce the adverse impact of nonpoint source discharges on the Basin's water resources through better management of these activities.

Much of the nonpoint source pollutants originate from agriculture. The Basin's economy is dependent upon agriculture, which is dependent upon water. Water supplies are finite. Some ground water areas are being overdrafted and additional water is needed to sustain the present intensity of farming. When new lands are put under irrigation, or when cropping patterns are changed, the potential for eliminating overdraft may be lost. Efficient use and development of supplies within the Basin can provide some water to meet growth demands, but to alleviate the projected overdraft, imported water supplies will still be required. The imported water quality should be the highest quality possible to prolong and protect good quality ground water.

Adequate disposal of collected agricultural drainage water from subsurface drains is essential to sustain agriculture in some areas and provide water quality protection. The preferred and long deferred permanent solution of exporting drainage water to San Francisco Bay may not be feasible. In the interim, evaporation ponds are being used for disposal of these saline waters. However, the ponds have created an impact on wildlife that must be mitigated for this interim disposal option to remain viable.

Salinity increases in ground water can ultimately eliminate the beneficial use of the resource. This loss will not be immediate, but control of the increase is a major part of this plan. Salt loads reaching the ground water body must be reduced. Storage of salt in the soil through increased irrigation efficiency is being done, but is only a temporary solution. Current fertilization and soil amendment practices should be reviewed. Methods to control the leachate from newly developed lands should be studied.

Watersheds must be managed to protect water quality. This can be accomplished within the concept of multiple uses of resources. Esthetic, recreational, wildlife, and other uses should receive consideration. Two historical problems within the Tulare Lake Basin are poor sanitation associated with recreational use and erosion from construction, logging, grazing, and irrigated agriculture. Management of these activities has improved the situation and must continue to assure no significant adverse effect on pristine streams. Erodible material must be stabilized so that turbidity in streams will be of limited intensity and duration. Activities in stream protection zones must be regulated. Provisions should be made to protect fishery flow releases in designated reaches of streams.

Waste disposal from land developments and from animals in confinement must conform with guidelines. Most existing unsewered communities need not be sewerred if individual waste systems are properly sited, operated and maintained. New developments must consider collection systems and should connect if within the sphere of influence of an established collection and treatment system. Septic tank pumpings must be treated and disposed of in a way that prevents impact to waters of the state.



**FIGURE I-1**  
**REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARDS**  
**TULARE LAKE BASIN LOCATION MAP**

